



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,569	06/25/2001	Maurice M. Moloney	9369-183	8071
7:	7590 01/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Micheline Gravelle			FOX, DAVID T	
Bereskin & Parr 40 King Street West			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Box 401			1638	
Toronto, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		09/887,569	MOLONEY ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David T. Fox	1638			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,20,23-25 and 30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17,20,23-25 and 30</u> is/are rejected.					
	· <u> </u>					
8)∐	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	ſ.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/887,569

Art Unit: 1638

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can

be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 17, 20 and 23-24 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by WO 91/13993 (UPJOHN), as stated on page 2 of the last Office action.

Claims 17, 20 and 23-25 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over WO 91/13993 (UPJOHN) in view of Vandekerckhove et al, as stated

on page 2 of the last Office action.

Claim 30 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

WO 91/13993 (UPJOHN) in view of Vandekerckhove et al as applied to claims 17, 20

and 23-25 above, and further in view of Orlikowska et al, as stated on pages 2-3 of the

last Office action.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed 27 October 2004 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

Applicant urges that the anticipation rejection is improper, since UPJOHN

teaches the cleavage of a portion of the signal peptide from the fusion protein, so that

the reference fails to teach the "accumulation" of a "fusion protein" comprising the entire

signal peptide linked to somatotropin. The Examiner notes that UPJOHN teaches that

at least two to four amino acids from the plant peptide will remain linked to the non-plant

somatotropin peptide (see, e.g., page 20 of UPJOHN, lines 14-16). Thus the reference

indeed teaches a "fusion protein" comprising somatotropin fused to non-somatotropin

amino acid residues, as instantly claimed.

Applicant urges that the obviousness rejections are improper, since UPJOHN teaches away from a fusion protein, so that there is no motivation to combine the references. The Examiner maintains that UPJOHN do indeed teach a fusion protein, as stated above, and also teach the desirability of using plants as bioreactors to produce pharmaceutical proteins such as somatotropin, as stated in the last Office action.

Furthermore, Vandekerckhove et al teach the advantages of producing pharmaceutical peptides as fusion proteins with highly accumulated seed storage proteins, as stated in the last Office action, and broadly suggest the application of their technique with any peptide of interest, as stated in the last Office action (see, e.g., page 929, column 1, second paragraph and column 2, second paragraph; page 931, column 2, first and second full paragraphs). Thus, motivation to combine the references is explicit.

Furthermore, Applicant's single example of success depends upon the use of an oil body protein-encoding nucleotide sequence to produce a fusion protein comprising an oil body protein, wherein said fusion protein stably accumulates in the seed in large quantities. In contrast, the claims are broadly drawn to the use of any fusion protein-encoding nucleotide sequence encoding any fusion protein.

See In re Lindner, 173 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1972) and In re Grasselli, 218 USPQ 769 (Fed. Cir. 1983) which teach that the evidence of nonobviousness should be commensurate with the scope of the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1638

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-0795. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:30AM to 7:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amy J. Nelson, Ph.D., can be reached on 571-272-0804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 3, 2005

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 189---//

(accel)